## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

## **GALVESTON DIVISION**

JENNIFER WOMACK	§	
	§	
V.	<b>§</b>	CIVIL ACTION NO. G-06-707
	§	
GALVESTON HOUSING	§	
AUTHORITY, ET AL.	§	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff, Jennifer Womack, acting *pro se*, filed this suit on November 7, 2006, and was granted permission to Proceed In Forma Pauperis.

In her complaint, Womack claims, *inter alia*, that she was denied due process when she was wrongfully terminated by Defendant, Galveston Housing Authority (GHS), from the rental assistance program of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development which provided rental assistance payments for her and her three children. Following the appearance of GHA, Womack sought the affirmative injunctive relief of reinstatement to the program. Having given careful consideration to Womack's numerous submissions and those of GHA, this Court issues this Report and Recommendation to the District Court.

Womack had been a recipient of rental assistance for more than ten years when she was terminated by GHA. Because Womack was a participant in the program, she had a protected property interest in continuing in the program as long as GHA lacked just cause to expel her; therefore, she was protected by the Due Process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Simmons v. Drew, 716 F.2d 1160, 1162 (7th Cir. 1983)

Due process requires notice and the opportunity to be heard. Termination from the rental

assistance program without due process is actionable and a federal court has the power to order

reinstatement. See Chesir v. Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee, 801 F. Supp. 244, 248

(E.D. Wis. 1992) Under normal circumstances the Court would simply establish a Docket

Control Order and allow the parties to develop their respective cases for trial. However, here,

Womack is at risk of losing her housing which constitutes presumptive irreparable harm. Rogers

v. Windmill Pointe Village Club Assn., Inc., 967 F.2d 525, 528 (11th Cir. 1992) (Subject to

rebuttal, irreparable injury may be presumed from the fact of violations of fair housing statutes)

Because of this potential loss of housing and the constitutional issue at stake, this Court

**RECOMMENDS** that the District Court **ORDER** that the Galveston Housing Authority provide

Womack with a prompt post-deprivation informal hearing before a fair and unbiased hearing

examiner and that all further proceedings in this case be STAYED until the hearing examiner

announces his decision.

The Clerk **SHALL** send a copy of this Report and Recommendation to the Parties who

SHALL have until March 9, 2007, to have written objections, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§636(b)(1)(C), physically on file in the Office of the Clerk. The Objections SHALL be mailed

to the Clerk's Office at P.O. Drawer 2300, Galveston, Texas 77553. Failure to file written

objections within the prescribed time **SHALL** bar attacking on appeal the factual findings and legal

conclusions accepted by the District Judge, except upon grounds of plain error.

**DONE** at Galveston, Texas, this 1st day of March, 2007.

John R. Froeschner

United States Magistrate Judge

2